

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 31 August 2014 21:28
To: PI
Subject: Planning Comment for 141149

Comment for Planning Application 141149

Name : mr h. siggins
Address : 2 hillcrest, peterculter ,ab14 0pp

Telephone : [REDACTED]
Email : [REDACTED]
type :

Comment : I made an objection to an almost identical planning application a few months ago and I still have the same objections, as I have again noted as follows. I also did not receive a neighbour notification letter on this occasion although I did receive one in the previous instance. I was made aware of this current planning application by word of mouth from another neighbour.

I object to this planning application to remove condition 1 from existing planning permission ref p120873 (and now 141149) for the following reasons.

The site is located in the green belt and no case has ever been made for why the greenbelt should be breached. Approval of the proposal would set a precedent for further housing in the green belt.

The private road leading to The Baads is single track, has no passing places, no turning points and has a hazardous blind corner. It is unsuitable for additional traffic especially horse boxes and horse transporters on a commercial scale.

The existing planning permission was granted on conditions that a viable stud farming business was to be established. The house was supposed to be tied to the business for accommodation of the business applicants and their employees. Removal of this condition would allow the tie of the accommodation to the business to be broken and this would set a detrimental precedent for all future planning applications in the green belt area.

The original application from 2011 states that the applicant was intending to relocate their stud farm and living accommodation on site due to problems with extending their lease at that time. Due to the time period of almost 10 years that has elapsed since this original application the planning board should establish if this situation still exists as valid grounds for the application.

The original application from 2011 further states that there is evidence of an existing dwelling on the land and so the applicant desired to build a 'replacement' dwelling on the land. There is no evidence of any existing dwelling on this land so the original statement was incorrect.

IMPORTANT NOTICE: This e-mail (including any attachment to it) is confidential, protected by copyright and may be privileged. The information contained in it should be used for its intended purposes only. If you receive this email in error, notify the sender by reply email, delete the received email and do not make use of, disclose or copy it. Whilst we take reasonable precautions to ensure that our emails are free from viruses, we cannot be responsible for any viruses transmitted with this email and recommend that you subject any incoming email to your own virus checking procedures. Unless related to Council business, the opinions expressed in this email are those of the sender and they do not necessarily constitute those of Aberdeen City Council. Unless we expressly say otherwise in this email or its